



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

BOOTON et al

Atty. Ref.: 36-1478; Confirmation No. 2445

Appl. No. 09/936,220

TC/A.U. 2642

Filed: September 10, 2001

Examiner: R. Al Aubraidi

For: COMPUTER TELEPHONY INTEGRATION

\* \* \* \* \*

May 1, 2006

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE**

In response to the final Office Action dated 12.01/2005, reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the following remarks.

The repeated rejection of claims 1-5 and 7-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being made "obvious" based on Staples '845 in view of Gruchala '953 is again respectfully traversed. The rejection of claim 6 as allegedly being made "obvious" based on Staples, Gruchala and further in view of Kahn '438 is also respectfully traversed.

The Examiner's recitation of some phrases from applicant's claims followed by an assertion that a given reference "basically" reads on such feature is perhaps not accurately understood. Either the reference does or it does not "read on" alleged features. For